Members - The Right Honourable the Lord Mayor [Sandy Verschoor] (Presiding);

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad)

Councillors Abrahimzadeh, Couros, Donovan, Hou, Hyde, Khera, Martin, Moran and Simms.

Acknowledgement of Country
At the opening of the Council Meeting, the Lord Mayor stated:

‘Council acknowledges that we are meeting on traditional Country of the Kaurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past and present. We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We acknowledge that they are of continuing importance to the Kaurna people living today.

And we also extend that respect to other Aboriginal Language Groups and other First Nations who are present today.’

Acknowledgement of Colonel William Light
The Lord Mayor stated:

‘The Council acknowledges the vision of Colonel William Light in determining the site for Adelaide and the design of the City with its six (6) squares and surrounding belt of continuous Park Lands which is recognised on the National Heritage List as one of the greatest examples of Australia’s planning heritage.’

Prayer
Upon the completion of the Acknowledgement of Colonel Light by the Lord Mayor, the Acting Chief Executive Officer asked all present to pray –

‘Almighty God, we ask your blessing upon the works of the City of Adelaide; direct and prosper its deliberations to the advancement of your glory and the true welfare of the people of this City. Amen’

Memorial Silence
The Lord Mayor asked all present to stand in silence in memory of those who gave their lives in defence of their Country, at sea, on land and in the air. 6.04pm.

The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) entered the Council Chamber at 6.04pm.

Apologies and Leave of Absence
On Leave:

Councillor Knoll.

Confirmation of Minutes
1. Item 6 - Confirmation of Minutes – 13/8/2019 [C]

Moved by Councillor Martin,
Seconded by Councillor Simms –

That the Minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 13 August 2019, be taken as read and be confirmed as an accurate record of proceedings.

Carried
Deputation

2. Item 7.1 - Nasar Usmani – Deputation – Removing Traffic Congestion and Beautification of the City of Adelaide [C]

Mr Nasar Usmani addressed the Council to:
▪ Speak about removing traffic congestion and beautification of the City of Adelaide.
▪ To table his report on the matter.

During the address, Councillor Martin left the Council Chamber at 6.09pm and re-entered at 6.10pm.

The Lord Mayor thanked Mr Nasar Usmani for his presentation.

Reports of The Committee, APLA and Audit Committee


The Lord Mayor advised the meeting that each recommendation would be dealt with separately.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Hyde,
Seconded by Councillor Abrahimzadeh –

Recommendation 1 - City of Adelaide Submission - South Australia’s Housing, Homelessness and Support Strategy

That Council:
1. Notes the release of the *Towards a Housing, Homelessness and Support Strategy – Strategic Intent* report, Attachment A to Item 7.2 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019, for the South Australian Housing, Homelessness and Support Strategy and the invitation for public submissions into the development of the Strategy.
2. Approves the attached submission to the South Australian Housing, Homeless and Support Strategy Attachment B to Item 7.2 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019, excluding Point 1 under Strategic Intent (page 114-116).

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Donovan,
Seconded by Councillor Hou –

Recommendation 2 - BMX Precinct - City Dirt Master Plan

That Council:
1. Notes the community engagement feedback received prior to the development of the City Dirt Master Plan as per Attachment A to Item 7.3 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019.
2. Approves the City Dirt Master Plan in Blue Gum Park/Kurangga (Park 20) as per Attachment B to Item 7.3 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019, including the vision, principles, actions and overall implementation plan.
3. Consider a budget allocation as part of the 2020/21 Integrated Business Plan deliberations to develop detailed designs and cost estimates to inform implementation of the City Dirt Master Plan in future years.

Carried

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Couros,
Seconded by Councillor Simms –


That Council:
2. Notes that the Public Art Action Plan 2019-2022, set out in Attachment A to Item 7.4 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019, will replace the existing Public Art and Memorials Policy (2012).

3. Notes the draft Public Art Operating Guidelines, set out in Attachment B to Item 7.4 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019.

4. Notes the draft Memorials Operating Guidelines, set out in Attachment C to Item 7.4 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019.

Carried

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Couros,
Seconded by Councillor Abrahimzadeh –

Recommendation 4 - Splash Adelaide 2.0

That Council:

1. Notes the objectives and guiding principles for Splash Adelaide as at Attachment A to Item 7.5 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019.

2. Authorises the CEO to select, approve and implement Splash Adelaide Projects and all associated matters under his delegation.

Carried

It was then -

Moved by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad),
Seconded by Councillor Simms –

Recommendation 5 - Strategic Plan Progress Report Quarter 4 2018/19

That Council:


2. Notes a report will be prepared by December 2019 providing more detailed analysis in relation to the achievements since 2016.

Carried

4. Item 9.2 - Advice of the Adelaide Park Lands Authority – 22/8/2019 [2018/04062] [C]

Moved by Councillor Martin,
Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) -

THAT COUNCIL NOTES THE FOLLOWING ADVICE OF THE ADELAIDE PARK LANDS AUTHORITY:

1. Advice 1 – Superloop Adelaide 500 2020 Declarations Consultation

THAT THE ADELAIDE PARK LANDS AUTHORITY ADVISES THE STATE GOVERNMENT AND COUNCIL:

That the Adelaide Park Lands Authority:

1. Supports the Declaration of the Declared Area, Declared Period and Prescribed Works Period for the purpose of conducting the Superloop Adelaide 500 2020 event.

2. Notes that the Superloop Adelaide 500 2020 Declaration Consultation is on the Declared Area, Declared Period and Prescribed Works Period only and not on the overall management of the Superloop Adelaide 500 event.

3. Notes Council will work with the South Australian Tourism Commission to deliver operational requirements to ensure the ongoing protection of Adelaide’s Park Lands.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried
Lord Mayor’s Reports

5. **Item 10.1 – Lord Mayor’s Report [2018/04064] [C]**

The Lord Mayor addressed the meeting on the following:

- Meeting with His Excellency Mr Abdulla Al Subousi, Ambassador of the United Arab Emirates
- Opening address at the Preventing Homelessness Conference, the 12th Australian Liveable Cities Conference, and a welcome to open the Mainstreets SA AGM.
- Keynote speaker at the 12th Annual South Australian Major Projects Conference and the Australian Women’s Leadership Symposium.
- Celebration for the first 100 businesses which have signed up to the Ten Gigabit Adelaide network, a Cultural Think Tank session as part of Professor James Pawelski’s residency, and the launch of 2nd exhibition of City of Adelaide Emerging Curators Program.
- Grote Business Precinct AGM and Lord Mayor’s Precinct Forum.
- Premiers Olympic luncheon, state dinner to commemorate 125 years of women’s suffrage, the American Chamber of Commerce luncheon, and Zonta’s centennial year celebration at Old Parliament House.
- University of Adelaide for National Student Volunteers week.
- Depot and Pirie Street employee forums and Customer Centre Communication Access accreditation.
- Climate related risks and commitment to effective and outcomes driven action.

It was then-

Moved by Councillor Hyde,
Seconded by Councillor Simms -

That the report be received and noted.

Councillors’ Reports

6. **Item 11.1 - Reports from Council Members [2018/04064] [C]**

Moved by Councillor Abrahimzadeh,
Seconded by Councillor Martin -

**THAT COUNCIL**

1. Notes the Council Member activities and functions attended on behalf of the Lord Mayor (Attachment A to Item 11.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 27 August 2019).
2. Notes the summary of Council Members meeting attendance (Attachment B to Item 11.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 27 August 2019).
3. Notes that reports from Council Members tabled at the meeting of the Council held on 27 August 2019 be included in the Minutes of the meeting.

Councillor Martin addressed the meeting on his attendance at the Adelaide Airport Consultative Committee.

Councillor Donovan addressed the meeting regarding an analysis by Walking SA of Traffic Accident Register data of pedestrian crashes across SA local government areas and a call to reduce the city’s default speed limit to 40 km/h.

Councillor Abrahimzadeh addressed the meeting on his attendance at the 2019 Landscape Architecture Awards.

The motion was then put and carried

Report for Council (Chief Executive Officer’s Reports)

7. **Item 12.1 – Audit Committee Presiding Member’s Annual Report [2014/05026] [C]**

Mr David Powell, Presiding Member, City of Adelaide Audit Committee verbally presented his Annual Report to the Council Chamber.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Martin,
Seconded by Councillor Simms -
THAT COUNCIL

1. Receives the Presiding Member’s Annual Report for the 2018/19 financial year as contained in Attachment A to Item 12.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 27 August 2019.

Carried

8. Item 12.2 – Progress of Motions by Elected Members [2018/04074] [C]

Moved by Councillor Martin,
Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) -

That Council:

1. Notes the report.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

Questions on Notice


The following Question was taken as read.

Question

At the last meeting of Council, Councillor Simms moved a motion for the Administration to investigate and report into the possibility of future Council leaseholders being prevented from operating poker machines on their premises and the options for curbing signs advertising poker machines.

This was amended and the following amendment subsequently supported by the majority of Councillors.

‘That Council requests that administration sets a policy position to not allow pokies/gambling style uses under any new lease agreements.”

Could the Administration advise:

1. If it believes the amendment applies to “gambling style uses” such as those undertaken by newsagents and other small businesses offering for sale lotto tickets, keno tickets and various general lotteries known as “scratchies”?

2. Does it believe the amendment applies to “gambling style uses” during short term hires or leases by community or other groups offering for sale bingo tickets, raffle tickets, lucky dips and so called “community lotteries” such as those offering tickets for sale with prizes of cash, homes, vehicles and other goods?

3. Could the amendment prevent possible tenancies in any property owned by Council in the newly redeveloped Central Market Arcade or redeveloped 88 O’Connell Street site, by;
   a) hotels with any gambling devices or, alternatively, where drinks have been purchased for the purpose of watching screens showing events on which bets have been placed
   b) TAB or similar businesses providing betting on sporting events or other outcomes such as election outcomes
   c) charities or community groups offering regular or occasional gambling such as bingo or lottery tickets or lucky dips
   d) businesses which regularly sell tickets in community lotteries or games of chance
   e) any other type of business?

4. If Council believed the amendment was ill considered, is a rescission motion the only means of changing the policy position recommended?

The Lord Mayor read the following reply:

‘Reply

1. Administration understood the intent of the original motion was a focus on gaming machines (pokies) in Council owned and leased properties.

2. In developing the policy, Administration will work with Council Members to clarify the intent of “gambling style” uses.’

The tabled Reply for Item 13.1 is attached for reference at the end of the Minutes of this meeting.
10. Item 13.2 – Councillor Martin – Question on Notice - Combustible Cladding [C]

The following Question was taken as read.

**Question**

In 2017 the Administration completed a visual audit of all buildings in the City of Adelaide believed to have combustible cladding, known as Stage 1, and advised the owners and occupants/residents of those structures of the potential risks. Stage 2 which included, where necessary, testing of the combustibility of such cladding on all buildings except those owned by the State Government began in 2018.

Could the Administration advise;

1. If it has completed the Stage 2 analysis of cladding, together with method and number of sites tested?
2. If it has not completed Stage 2, how many sites remain to be tested?
3. In its opinion, how many buildings will require Stage 3 replacement of cladding with other building materials and is there an estimate of the total cost available?
4. When does it reasonably believe Stage 3 will be completed and what are the impediments, if any?
5. What action can Council take if parties, including the State Government, fail to agree to Stage 2 testing or Stage 3 modifications in order to protect people in the City of Adelaide from any hazard?

The Lord Mayor read the following reply:

**Reply**

1. City of Adelaide residential buildings including hotels, motels and apartments, aged care facilities, hospitals, schools, assembly buildings, and buildings with occupants who may be require assistance to escape, have been audited under Stage 2 of the State Government Aluminium Composite Panels building audit.
   1.1. Sixty-nine buildings were analysed using the South Australian Life Safety Analysis (SALSA) spreadsheet.
   1.2. No further originally identified residential buildings remain to be analysed.

2. The City of Adelaide Building Fire Safety Committee (Committee) has commenced Stage 3 and is in the process of reviewing the SALSA ratings on all buildings to confirm the inputs, familiarise themselves with the building and to apply their collective knowledge to each building and its SALSA result to decide whether remedial action under Stage 3 is required. The Committee is still to determine whether any of the City’s buildings require cladding replacement.

3. There are no set dates for the completion of Stage 3 as it will depend on the level of required remedial action which is unknown at this time.

4. Where the Committee considers Phase 3 remediation is necessary, it may act under the *Development Act 1993* (s.71) to require the preparation of a report and to have work undertaken to make the buildings fire safety adequate.

The tabled Reply for Item 13.2 is attached for reference at the end of the Minutes of this meeting.

**Questions without Notice**

Discussion ensued

**Motions on Notice**

11. Item 15.1 – Councillor Moran – Motion on Notice – Parking Women’s and Children’s Hospital [2016/02563] [C]

Moved by Councillor Moran,  
Seconded by Councillor Simms -

That:

Council investigate the parent parking situation surrounding the Women’s and Children’s Hospital and possible solutions including overstay permits, by consulting the hospital and assessing the situation.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried
12. Item 15.2 – Councillor Simms – Motion on Notice - Chain of responsibility laws for defective building work [2019/00464] [C]

Councillor Simms withdrew his motion from consideration.

13. Item 15.3 – Councillor Hyde – Motion on Notice – City Connector Bus [2019/00951] [C]

Councillor Hyde withdrew his motion from consideration.

14. Item 15.4 – Councillor Hyde – Motion on Notice - Sex Work Decriminalisation [2019/00551] [C]

Moved by Councillor Hyde,
Seconded by Councillor Khera -

That Council:

1. Notes the constant trend over many years of cost and responsibility shifting from the South Australian Government on to the Local Government sector.
2. Notes that Local Governments are not mandated, equipped or adequately funded to handle complex social issues and associated impacts on their communities.
3. Opposes the current Statutes Amendment (Sex work Decriminalisation) Bill 2019 currently before the House of Assembly due to:
   a. Planning implications for Local Government Areas across the State, but particularly in the City of Adelaide; and
   b. The anticipated prevalence of on-street solicitation that the current bill would cause in the City of Adelaide.
4. Does not, in passing the above, endorse a position either way on the merits or otherwise of sex work decriminalisation but merely the impact of the current bill on the City of Adelaide.
5. Requests the Lord Mayor write to all Members of the House of Assembly informing them of what Council’s position is and why.

Discussion ensued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Abrahimzadeh,
Seconded by Councillor Couros -

That the motion be amended to read as follows:

‘That Council:

1. Notes the constant trend over many years of cost and responsibility shifting from the South Australian Government on to the Local Government sector.
2. Notes that Local Governments are not mandated, equipped or adequately funded to handle complex social issues and associated impacts on their communities.
3. Notes the current Statutes Amendment (Sex work Decriminalisation) Bill 2019 (the Bill) currently before the House of Assembly.
4. Requests Council engage with Members of Parliament, the Local Government Association and its members, along with other relevant stakeholders to determine the implications of the Bill on Councils, particularly:
   a. Planning implications for Local Government Areas across the State, but particularly in the City of Adelaide; and
   b. The anticipated prevalence of on-street solicitation that the current bill would cause in the City of Adelaide.’

Discussion ensued, during which with the consent of the mover, seconder and the meeting part 4b. of the amendment was varied to read as follows:

‘b. The possible effects of on-street solicitation that the current bill would cause in the City of Adelaide.’

The amendment, as varied, was then put and carried.
Councillor Martin then requested that a division be taken on the amendment, as varied.

Division

For (9):

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) and Councillors Abrahimzadeh, Couros, Donovan, Hou, Hyde, Martin, Moran and Simms.

Against (1): Councillor Khera.

The division was declared in favour of the amendment, as varied

Discussion continued

The motion, as amended, was then put and carried

15. Item 15.5 – Councillor Hyde – Motion on Notice - Improving the Park Lands Trail [2019/00951] [C]

Moved by Councillor Hyde, Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) -

That Council:
1. Notes the Lot Fourteen site sits on land that was originally laid out as Park Land by Colonel William Light.
2. Supports the inclusion in the Master Plan for Lot Fourteen of a route for the Adelaide Park Lands Trail along the eastern edge of the site adjacent to the Botanic Gardens, connecting the current Rundle Park/ North Terrace section to Frome Park.
3. Places an item on the Agenda of the Capital City Committee reflecting that support.

Discussion ensued, during which Councillor Khera left the Council Chamber at 7.15pm and re-entered at 7.17pm.

The motion was then put and carried

16. Item 15.6 – Councillor Couros – Motion on Notice - River Torrens Water Quality [2017/04573] [C]

Moved by Councillor Couros, Seconded by Councillor Abrahimzadeh -

That Council:
Requests Administration provide an update on what the City of Adelaide has done to improve the water quality of the River Torrens and provide information on opportunities to work more collaboratively with State Government or other partners to ensure water quality issues in Torrens Lake are addressed to enhance the long-term sustainability of the River Torrens.

Discussion ensued, during which Councillor Hou left the Council Chamber at 7.18pm. Councillor Hou re-entered the Council Chamber at 7.20pm.

The motion was then put and carried

17. Item 15.7 – Councillor Abrahimzadeh – Motion on Notice - Residential Waste Reduction Incentives [2019/01444] [C]

Moved by Councillor Abrahimzadeh, Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) -

That Council:
Requests administration investigate options to incentivise residents to reduce their waste. For example, whether residents could receive a one-off rebate to reduce the size of their 140L waste bin to a smaller volume.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried
Motion without Notice

18. **Item 16 – Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) – Motion without Notice – Passing of Anthony Williamson [C]**

Moved by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad),
Seconded by Councillor Simms -

That Council:
1. Expresses its deep sadness at the passing of Anthony John Williamson, City of Adelaide South Ward Councillor between 2010 and 2014, local Hutt Street business owner for more than 30 years and active Adelaide South East community member and volunteer, and
2. Records its appreciation of Tony's distinguished service to the City of Adelaide community; and passes on their condolences to Tony's wife Sandra, daughters Sally and Anna and their families; and
3. Notes that the Lord Mayor will attend Tony’s funeral at St. John's Anglican Church on Halifax Street on Wednesday 28 August 2019 and will convey on behalf of Council our sincere sympathy to the family.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

19. **Item 16 – Councillor Simms – Motion without Notice – Climate Change [C]**

Moved by Councillor Simms,
Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) -

That Council:
1. Acknowledges the City of Adelaide’s (CoA) long-standing commitment to managing and reducing the greenhouse gas emissions of the CoA and the community.
2. Requests that the CoA consider and address climate change risks in its own operations and service delivery; with a report on progress and implementation to be provided to the City of Adelaide Audit Committee for its review and assurance to Council.
3. Declares that climate change poses a serious risk to the people of Adelaide, and it should be treated as a national emergency.
4. Requests that the Lord Mayor advocates to other tiers of government through the Capital City Committee and the Council of Capital City Lord Mayors that urgent action is taken to understand, disclose and manage risks related to Climate Change.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

Councillor Moran then requested that a division be taken on the motion.

Division

For (9):

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) and Councillors Abrahimzadeh, Couros, Donovan, Hou, Hyde, Martin, Moran and Simms.

Against (1):

Councillor Khera.

The division was declared in favour of the motion

Exclusion of the Public

20. **Item 17.1 – Exclusion of the Public [2018/04291] [C]**

For the following report of The Committee seeking consideration in confidence

18.1.1. Recommendations of The Committee in Confidence – 20/8/2019

Recommendation 1 Strategic Procurement Matter [s 90(3) (b) & (d)]

Recommendation 2 Capital City Committee Update [s 90(3) (g) & (j)]
ORDER TO EXCLUDE FOR ITEM 18.1.1:

Moved Councillor Hou,
Seconded by Councillor Moran

THAT COUNCIL:

1. Having taken into account the relevant consideration contained in s 90(3) (b), (d), (g) & (j) and s 90(2) & (7) of the 
Local Government Act 1999 (SA), this meeting of the Council dated 27/8/2019 resolves that it is necessary and 
appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public as the consideration of Item 18.1.1 [Recommendations of The 
Committee in Confidence – 20/8/2019] listed on the Agenda in a meeting open to the public would on balance be 
contrary to the public interest.

Recommendation 1 - Strategic Procurement Matter

Grounds and Basis

This Item is commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which 
could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting 
business, prejudice the commercial position of Council and prejudice the commercial position of the person who 
supplied the information and confer a commercial advantage on a third party prior to finalising contract 
negotiations and execution.

Public Interest

The Committee is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been 
outweighed because it may prejudice Council’s ability to discuss or influence a Proponents proposal for the 
benefit of Council and the community in this matter. This may limit Council’s ability to negotiate and achieve the 
best possible outcome for Council.

Recommendation 2 - Capital City Committee Update

Grounds and Basis

This Item is confidential to ensure that the Council does not breach any law, any duty of confidence, or other legal 
obligation or duty.

The disclosure of information in this report would breach ‘cabinet in confidence’ information presented to the 
Capital City Committee and the City of Adelaide Act 1998 which has provided for a State/Capital City inter-
governmental forum (the Capital City Committee) to operate ‘in confidence’ and a breach of the associated duty of 
confidence and duty as a member of the inter-governmental forum.

The Committee is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been 
outweighed in the circumstances because the disclosure of this information would be contrary to the Capital City 
Committee’s operations and could prejudice the position of the State Government and/or Council in relation to 
current/future proposals prior to State Government and/or City of Adelaide evaluation and deliberation.

2. Pursuant to s 90(2) of the Local Government Act 1999 (SA), this meeting of the Council dated 27/8/2019 orders 
that the public (with the exception of members of Corporation staff and any person permitted to remain) be 
excluded from this meeting to enable this meeting to receive, discuss or consider in confidence Item 18.1.1 
[Recommendations of The Committee in Confidence – 20/8/2019] listed in the Agenda, on the grounds that such 
item of business, contains information and matters of a kind referred to in s 90(3) (b), (d), (g) & (j) of the Act.

Carried

The public and members of Corporation staff not directly involved with Item 18.1.1 left the Council Chamber at 7.49pm.
Confidential Item 18.1.1
Recommendation of The Committee in Confidence – 20/8/2019
Section 90 (3) (b), (d), (g) & (j) of the Local Government Act (SA) 1999
Pages 11 - 12
The Council Chamber re-opened to the public at 7.52pm.

Resolutions & Confidentiality Orders

**Minute 21 - Item 18.1.1 - Recommendation of The Committee in Confidence – 20/8/2019 [C]**

**Recommendation 1 – Strategic Procurement Matter**

_That Council:_

1. Notes the outcomes of the Request for Tender Evaluation Report for the procurement of 100% renewable electricity contract contained in Attachment A to Item 5.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019.

2. Notes the Prudential Report contained in Attachment B to Item 5.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 20 August 2019.

3. Notes that a redacted version of the Prudential Report will be made public prior to contract execution.

4. Approves proceeding to the Best and Final Offer (BAFO) stage for the procurement of 100% renewable electricity contract.

5. Approves that companies Green, Orange and Blue, as listed in this report, are invited to participate in the BAFO procurement stage.

6. Notes that the Project Risk Register will be updated based on the inherent and residual risks associated with the final contract structure and prior to execution of the contract.

7. Notes that the outcomes of the BAFO stage will be brought back to Council for authorisation to execute the contract.

8. In accordance with Section 91(7) & (9) of the Local Government Act 1999 (SA) and because Item 5.1 [Recommendation 1 - Strategic Procurement Matter] listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 20 August 2019 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the Local Government Act 1999 (SA), this meeting of the Council do order that:

   8.1. the resolution become public information and included in the Minutes of the Council meeting

   8.2. the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until February 2021

   8.3. the confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2020

   8.4. the Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

**Recommendation 2 – Capital City Committee Update**

_That Council:_

1. Notes the report.

2. Notes that the date of the next Capital City Committee meeting has not yet been set, but that The Committee meets quarterly.

3. In accordance with Section 91(7) & (9) of the Local Government Act 1999 (SA) and because Item 18.1.1 [Recommendation 2 - Capital City Committee Update] listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 27 August 2019 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (g) & (j) of the Local Government Act 1999 (SA), this meeting of the Council do order that:

   3.1. the resolution becomes public information and included in the Minutes of the Council meeting;

   3.2. the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until 31 December 2026;

   3.3. the confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2020; and

   3.4. the Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.
Closure
The meeting closed at 7.52pm.

Clare Mockler,
Acting Chief Executive Officer

Sandy Verschoor,
Lord Mayor.

Documents Attached for Reference
Minute 2 - Item 7.1 - Nasar Usmani – Deputation – Removing Traffic Congestion and Beautification of the City of Adelaide, Tabled Report
Minute 9 - Item 13.1 - Councillor Martin – Question on Notice – Impacts of Gambling Amendment, Tabled Reply
Minute 10 - Item 13.2 - Councillor Martin – Question on Notice – Combustible Cladding, Tabled Reply
REMOVING TRAFFIC CONGESTIONS
AND BEAUTIFICATION OF CITY OF ADELAIDE
By: Engineer Nasar Usmani
042 6876 264
REMOVING TRAFFIC CONGESTIONS AND BEAUTIFICATION OF CITY OF ADELAIDE
By: Engineer Nasar Usmani

Fast and smooth traffic is vital for many businesses. I do not propose to increase the existing road speed limits, as they are appropriate, but there are other hindrances that do not allow the traffic to move at the designed speeds.

And although there could be many expensive solutions to make the traffic run fast which are also slightly included in the paper, but my proposals are based on simple administrative solutions that will not be heavy on finances.

We need to make the travel aesthetic and beautiful, this will reduce driving stress and attract tourists. We need to bring new ideas onto roads, and make driving a fun rather than a fatigue

What is a traffic frustration?

In the road safety most of the emphasis is only on making the traffic slow, as slow as possible. We need to change this module; most of the accidents are not caused by fast driver but by a frustrated driver. When the commuter finds the traffic slow for no justified reason, he gets frustrated. Throughout the metropolitan the traffic congestion are becoming a matter of routine.

Why we need to remove traffic frustrations?

Decades back if you tried to convince business people to have emails and computers, the response would be similar, we don’t need it, it just takes few minutes to type a letter, then dial the number which hardly takes a few tries then within minutes your fax is at its destination, and if you tell them that with a computer and internet you can do the same instantly, they wouldn’t understand what to do with additional spare time.

If we want the city to be business fast, we need to make the traffic smart, moreover inefficient traffic also affect public health, mental stress and arthritis of knees and hips

We already have many green belts, parks and gardens, with tickling ideas, but roads are just roads

We need to break the monotony on roads as they make a mind dull and tendency to sleep. Sharp brains also bring sharp ideas in business. Moreover, unconventional methods of beautification will attract tourists

What can we do?

Road base material is obtained from crushing rock, we are leveling our beautiful mountains and putting them under the roads. Instead we must think on alternatives

Intelligent road signal system will reduce travelling time and enhance business, while efficient public transport system will reduce congestions on the road, the system should be at discretion of the commuter instead of the commuter be bound to transport schedule at most times

The system should give speed along with safety, flexibility and confidence, and must be commuter friendly
List of Proposals:

1. Replacing dedicated corridor for rail transport with 6 lane fast speed highways
2. Programming Tram signals such that a tram must get continuous green throughout its journey
3. Train transport, instead of larger trains, have smaller trains are lesser time intervals
4. Cumbersome and noisy boom gates be replaced with light mechanism
5. Trams overhead cables present an untidy image of the city, an engineering solution is required
6. EFTOS cards must be accepted in all public transport along with metro cards
7. Instead of all busses terminating in the city, it must go up down main roads only
8. Road components such as kerbs, sign poles etc must not present threat to drunk or tired driver
9. Black bituminous road can't be illuminated and the vehicles have the lights of their own as well,
   while the pedestrians has to use leftover lamination, instead walkways must be illuminated
10. Lighting must be with intriguing ideas
11. Tendency of burring services under the roads must be discouraged
12. Pedestrian crossings at the road junctions must be one time signal in all directions
13. U turns at intersections must be permitted
14. Simplify traffic signals, allowing one road at a time to go in any direction
15. Turning right or left with care signals must be immediately abolished
16. Introducing new signals; blinking red (stop watch go), blinking orange (watch go) and blinking green (go) just to indicate that other commuters are using discretion
17. Abolishing road medians and introducing intelligent road transport system
18. Criss crossing CBD roads be made one way using the big blocks of buildings as roundabouts
19. Safety regulations must be justified to situation instead of rigid rules.

Details available on my Facebook profile

https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=10219550766107872&id=1476362129

My Contact: 042 6876 264 Email usmani.nas@gmail.com
PROPOSALS DETAILS

REPLACING TRACKED TRANSPORT WITH FAST SPEED HIGHWAYS

1. All tram and train tracks occupy huge corridors with green belt and service lanes on both sides. Instead, this designated corridor, because they are not connected with streets can easily accommodate fast speed 6 lanes highways. This will also help develop suburbs which right now are not very attractive.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - TRAMS

2. Tram should get priority signals, right now they operate just like ordinary traffic signals, so other traffic wait for the tram signal even during the times when there is no tram and the tram stops at the signal for other traffic, there is no point of keeping railed transport if it has to move like ordinary traffic. The tram signals should be programmed as such that the tram should get a continuous go between stops

3. Overhead cables for Tram do not present aesthetic look to the city. An engineering solutions is required. This will also reduce the maintenance cost of cables and give the city a tidy look.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - TRAINS

4. All carriages of local railway are self-propelled, so we can have shorter trains more often instead of longer trains at longer waiting.
   a. This will make the train for passengers more convenient
   b. Shorter the trains, lesser the friction on tracks and reduced maintenance.
   c. Trains will attract more commuters.

5. Boom gates at crossings are cumbersome and noisy, moreover a shaking and absurdly long gate takes time to rise up and lower down, and present a non aesthetic look. These must be replaced with some lighter and faster mechanism.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - BUSSES ROUTES

6. With all the bus routes terminating in the city has made the city more congested, filled with trams and busses, with confused commuters, selecting the correct route bus. Instead the busses should ply up and down main arterial roads only, and include tram stops or train stations in their journey, allowing the rigid transport or shuttle services to carry the commuters to the city.
   a. This will be easy for the commuters as they will not be required to select a bus route, they already know where it is going
   b. For smaller routes; mini busses can also be utilized, even sharing Taxis can run on flat rates accepting Metro cards
   c. These measures will also reduce the cost of public transportation, and reduce congestion inside city
   d. Fares should cost lesser than actual cost of travel by car

7. Inside the CBD only shuttle mini busses should run

8. Bus stops and passenger waiting areas must be shaded to protect from weather

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - FARES
9. EFTOS cards must be accepted as mode of fare payment, and fare must be according to distance travelled and not flat ticket

ROAD COMPONENTS - KERBS AND MEDIANS:

10. Road components such as side-kerbs, medians and sign-posts etc must not become hazard for the tired and fatigued commuters or even drunk drivers or illegal drivers, instead;
   a. Upstand road side Kerbs and medians be replaced by rough material, which should be rideable but noisy or discomfort-able so as to wake the driver up if he falls asleep.
   b. Steel sign boards be replaced with knock-able PVC or recyclable plastic sign boards, that if a car hit them, the car is not broken, while a camera may take photograph of the offender
   c. Most of the sign boards can be painted florescent on the road example speed limits, direction signs, and other warnings

STREET LIGHTS:

11. Conceptually black bituminous road can't be illuminated, while the vehicles have lights of their own as well. And the pedestrians make their way in the darkness of footpaths using the leftover lamination which was not actually for them. The definition of "street light" must be revived.
12. There is no need of illuminating roads for the vehicles, instead, the footpaths must be illuminated with artistic ideas, thus illuminated walkways will also serve as roadways border.
13. Some ideas could be but not limited to:
   a. Neon-advertisement boards
   b. Garnishing trees with twinkling lights
   c. Usual flood lights attached to buildings
   d. Lights embedded in the footpath pavement
   e. Florescent painting on building's outer walls

SERVICES AND MANHOLES:

14. Roads top and manhole covers can never match surface, because the roads have camber and slopes while the manhole covers are always horizontal, and therefore they always jerk whenever a vehicle rides over them.
15. Just because the roads provide a wide corridor, the trend of burying services under the pavements must be curtailed unless extremely necessary. The manholes must be placed off road so that the traffic need not be disturbed during maintenance. Thus maintenance cost will also be reduced.

PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS:

16. Pedestrian crossings signal on roads with 4 lanes or more, should operate separately for either way road.
17. At the intersections, the pedestrians must get one signal in the whole signal cycle to move all ways, this will provide following advantages.
   a. This will facilitate the pedestrians particularly if they intend to move diagonal then they will not have to wait twice for the signals.
   b. The crossing area will be the actual junction of intersecting roads because stop lines of intersecting roads will also come near.
c. Reducing distance the vehicles will be travelling during the orange light, and thereby reducing the chances of conflicts and more vehicles will clear in one signal cycle

d. The vehicles will not have to risk the pedestrians during taking turn while pedestrians using the crossing

U TURN AT INTERSECTIONS:

18. Vehicles must be allowed to take a U turn at intersections, so that a commuter can easily return without having to take complicated maneuvers. The more a driver is puzzled, the higher the risk of accidents.

TURNING WITH CARE:

19. Turning with care signals must be immediately abolished, the commuter must not be allowed to use his judgment to determine his right of way. Instead we should let all traffic from one road to go in any directions, including U turns, we can have following advantages;

a. More lanes are available to clear the traffic more quickly, as the lane occupied by right turning traffic will also be operational at the same time

b. Traffic will clear faster as compared to present system, where traffic has to slow down because of standing vehicles waiting to grab a chance to turn, and vehicles creeping forward from between standing cars

c. Often turning vehicles and straight bound vehicles get trapped among each other when there is no dedicated turning lane, and when straight bound vehicles blocks turning lanes.

d. Filter lanes can be utilized as additional lanes at intersections.

e. Simple signal are easily understandable and cost effective.

20. Introducing new Signals: For off peak traffic, we should introduce three new type of signals:

a. “Blinking Red light” is “Stop-Watch-Go”; this is similar to “stop line” on crossings

b. “Blinking Orange light” is “Watch-Go”; this is similar to “give way line” on crossings

c. “Blinking Green” is “Go” but just to caution that the intersection is in use of vehicles from other directions as well, similar to “go straight with care” on crossings

INTELLEGENT ROAD TRAFFIC SYSTEM

21. Replacing road medians with additional road lanes, we can assign more number of lanes to the traffic during peak hours and vice versa.

CRISS CROSSING CBD ROADS:

22. CBD roads be made one way, thereby the blocks of buildings will become big roundabouts, and reduce conflicts at the intersections.

SAFETY REGULATIONS:

23. The safety regulations should be made realistic, situation wise, and such practices be adopted as not to impede the traffic unnecessarily.

I can prepare case to case study. I hope you will find these suggestions useful. Thanks
QUESTION ON NOTICE

Councillor Martin will ask the following Question on Notice:

‘At the last meeting of Council, Councillor Simms moved a motion for the Administration to investigate and report into the possibility of future Council leaseholders being prevented from operating poker machines on their premises and the options for curbing signs advertising poker machines.

This was amended and the following amendment subsequently supported by the majority of Councillors.

“That Council requests that administration sets a policy position to not allow pokies/gambling style uses under any new lease agreements.”

Could the Administration advise;

1. If it believes the amendment applies to “gaming style uses” such as those undertaken by newsagents and other small businesses offering for sale lotto tickets, keno tickets and various general lotteries known as “scratchies”?

2. Does it believe the amendment applies to “gaming style uses” during short term hires or leases by community or other groups offering for sale bingo tickets, raffle tickets, lucky dips and so called “community lotteries” such as those offering tickets for sale with prizes of cash, homes, vehicles and other goods?

3. Could the amendment prevent possible tenancies in any property owned by Council in the newly redeveloped Central Market Arcade or redeveloped 88 O’Connell Street site, by;
   a) hotels with any gambling devices or, alternatively, where drinks have been purchased for the purpose of watching screens showing events on which bets have been placed
   b) TAB or similar businesses providing betting on sporting events or other outcomes such as election outcomes
   c) charities or community groups offering regular or occasional gambling such as bingo or lottery tickets or lucky dips
   d) businesses which regularly sell tickets in community lotteries or games of chance
   e) any other type of business?

4. If Council believed the amendment was ill considered, is a rescission motion the only means of changing the policy position recommended?’

REPLY

1. Administration understood the intent of the original motion was a focus on gaming machines (pokies) in Council owned and leased properties.

2. In developing the policy, Administration will work with Council Members to clarify the intent of “gaming style” uses.
QUESTION ON NOTICE

Councillor Martin will ask the following Question on Notice:

‘In 2017 the Administration completed a visual audit of all buildings in the City of Adelaide believed to have combustible cladding, known as Stage 1, and advised the owners and occupants/residents of those structures of the potential risks. Stage 2 which included, where necessary, testing of the combustibility of such cladding on all buildings except those owned by the State Government began in 2018.

Could the Administration advise;
1. If it has completed the Stage 2 analysis of cladding, together with method and number of sites tested?
2. If it has not completed Stage 2, how many sites remain to be tested?
3. In its opinion, how many buildings will require Stage 3 replacement of cladding with other building materials and is there an estimate of the total cost available?
4. When does it reasonably believe Stage 3 will be completed and what are the impediments, if any?
5. What action can Council take if parties, including the State Government, fail to agree to Stage 2 testing or Stage 3 modifications in order to protect people in the City of Adelaide from any hazard?’

REPLY

1. City of Adelaide residential buildings including hotels, motels and apartments, aged care facilities, hospitals, schools, assembly buildings, and buildings with occupants who may be require assistance to escape, have been audited under Stage 2 of the State Government Aluminium Composite Panels building audit.
   1.1. Sixty-nine buildings were analysed using the South Australian Life Safety Analysis (SALSA) spreadsheet.
   1.2. No further originally identified residential buildings remain to be analysed.
2. The City of Adelaide Building Fire Safety Committee (Committee) has commenced Stage 3 and is in the process of reviewing the SALSA ratings on all buildings to confirm the inputs, familiarise themselves with the building and to apply their collective knowledge to each building and its SALSA result to decide whether remedial action under Stage 3 is required. The Committee is still to determine whether any of the City’s buildings require cladding replacement.
3. There are no set dates for the completion of Stage 3 as it will depend on the level of required remedial action which is unknown at this time.
4. Where the Committee considers Phase 3 remediation is necessary, it may act under the Development Act 1993 (s.71) to require the preparation of a report and to have work undertaken to make the buildings fire safety adequate.

- END OF REPORT -